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Abstract

This article presents a case study of how COVID-19 has increased the precarity and risks of labour exploitation for vulnerable populations. Looking at the situation of LGBTQ+ Venezuelan asylum seekers in Brazil during COVID-19, it examines how the challenges they faced were exacerbated during the pandemic and how the Brazilian government’s poor response to COVID-19 and lockdown policies forced LGBTQ+ Venezuelan asylum seekers to take greater risks that exposed them to the virus. Based on 56 surveys with LGBTQ+ Venezuelan asylum seekers in Manaus, Brazil, the article discusses how COVID-19 impacted the livelihoods of LGBTQ+ Venezuelan asylum seekers. Specifically, it demonstrates that transgender and travesti Venezuelan asylum seekers experienced more labour precarity, discrimination, and violence during the pandemic than their cisgender counterparts.
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Introduction

Global responses to the COVID-19 pandemic and post-pandemic recovery have largely failed to consider the unique needs and challenges that LGBTQ+ (lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer) populations face. This sidelining of LGBTQ+ concerns from the international discourse is significant because research has shown that this population is particularly vulnerable to crises like a pandemic. The United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) noted that, ‘In all latitudes, LGBT persons are disproportionately represented in the ranks of the poor, people experiencing homelessness, and those without healthcare, meaning that they may be particularly affected as a result of the pandemic’. These concerns are compounded for LGBTQ+ individuals with precarious legal status as asylum seekers, refugees, or migrants. And all of this is compounded by stigmatisation of both LGBTQ+ individuals and migrants being seen as responsible for spreading the virus, an attitude that was particularly prevalent at the start of the pandemic.

To understand the impacts of COVID-19 on LGBTQ+ asylum seekers, I draw on findings from 56 surveys with LGBTQ+ Venezuelan asylum seekers in Manaus, Brazil. I examine its impact on their livelihoods, focusing on the sectors in which they worked before and during the pandemic and changes in their monthly income. Specifically, I investigate the challenges they face finding jobs because of the intersectional precarity they experience due to their overlapping social locations as asylum seekers, Venezuelans, and gender or sexual minorities. I also explore
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the difference between cisgender and transgender and _travesti_ Venezuelan asylum seekers and whether their gender identities make a difference in terms of facing more or less intersectional precarity.

**Context**

Since 2014, 7.18 million Venezuelans have left due to the country’s ongoing economic and political crisis. In 2018, inflation in Venezuela exceeded 1 million per cent and medicine for conditions ranging from headache to cancer were unavailable. Even before the COVID-19 pandemic, Venezuela was known to have a collapsed healthcare system. According to the NGO Venezuelan Finance Observatory, the average monthly minimum wage is around USD 15, while a basket of goods covering the monthly needs of a family of five was USD 370 at the end of September 2022.

More than 84 per cent of Venezuelans who fled went to other Latin American countries, with many choosing Brazil due to its booming economy and its reputation as a migrant-receiving state, specifically after it opened its borders to Haitians on humanitarian grounds in 2010. For LGBTQ+ Venezuelans, Brazil also has the allure of progress on LGBT rights and of having Latin America’s largest LGBT community. The country’s LGBTQ+ positive and migrant-
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6 _Travesti_ is a term used in Latin America to describe ‘people who want to look and feel… like women, without giving up some of their male characteristics, such as their genitals. _Travestis_ are neither transsexuals nor transvestites, but _travesti_, the term they self-identity with’ from J Vartabedian, _Brazilian ‘Travesti’ Migration: Gender, Sexualities and Embodiment Experiences_, Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, 2018.
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welcoming reputation, however, is in contrast with the reality on the ground, especially since the election of ultra-conservative president Bolsonaro in 2019.

As COVID-19 infection rates grew exponentially around the world, countries closed their borders to stop the spread of the virus. Bordering nine countries, Brazil was quick to close its borders—first with Venezuela, citing concerns that the health services in the northern state of Roraima were already overburdened by the influx of Venezuelans, following by its other borders. Shutting down the border deterred Venezuelan asylum seekers and migrants from entering Brazil through official routes. However, it did not prevent crossings but only forced people to take more dangerous, informal routes. These routes, called *trochas*, are informal paths across borders that are often run or controlled by criminal gangs and paramilitary groups. As a result, it is common for travellers to suffer violence, exploitation, theft, extortion, and sexual violence.

Venezuelans crossing the border in the state of Roraima generally make their way to Manaus, the closest major city and the capital of the state of Amazonas. In 2020, Manaus also became one of the world’s COVID-19 epicentres. From April 2020 to January 2021, the city made international headlines as waves of COVID-19 led to high death tolls, mass graves, and hospitals running out of oxygen. As of 23 January 2023, according to Johns Hopkins University’s Coronavirus Resource Center, Brazil has had over 36 million confirmed COVID-19 cases and 696,257 people have died from the virus, making it the country with the highest number of deaths, after the United States.

The reason for the high death toll is due to the then president Jair Bolsonaro’s denial of the pandemic. President Bolsonaro repeatedly downplayed the severity of the pandemic, even after he caught COVID-19 himself. His denial and lack of response were so concerning that the World Health Organization declared
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Brazil’s COVID-19 situation to be affecting its neighbours.\textsuperscript{18}

Not only was President Bolsonaro’s COVID-19 response inadequate, it was also strongly homophobic.\textsuperscript{19} He used the common homophobic slurs ‘fairies’ and ‘sissies’ when referring to people wearing masks and fearing the virus.\textsuperscript{20} As a right-wing and ultra-conservative president, Bolsonaro fostered a strong anti-gay movement, and this environment was extremely hostile and threatening to LGBTQ+ Venezuelan asylum seekers who had very little social, political, cultural, and economic power.

It is also important to highlight that while cisgender, heterosexual migrants faced discrimination, violence, and xenophobia during COVID-19, LGBTQ+ asylum seekers faced even greater precarity, especially in a country like Brazil. The main reason is their social location as a sexual and gender minority in a homophobic and transphobic country with the largest number of trans people killed for the thirteenth year in a row in 2021.\textsuperscript{21} In terms of labour precarity and discrimination in the workplace, LGBTQ+ people often face higher rates of unemployment and underemployment than cisgender, heterosexual people.\textsuperscript{22} They are also more likely to live in poverty and suffer economic instability.\textsuperscript{23} LGBTQ+ asylum seekers are also more likely to face barriers to accessing healthcare, housing, and social support due to discrimination, homophobia, and transphobia. Thus, LGBTQ+ asylum seekers and migrants face heightened discrimination due to the intersections of their migration status and sexual orientation and gender identity.


\textsuperscript{19} Cowper-Smith, Su, and Valiquette.


\textsuperscript{23} \textit{Ibid.}
Intersectional Precarity

The concept of intersectional precarity combines Kimberlé Crenshaw’s theory of intersectionality with Elena Fiddian-Qasmiyeh’s concept of overlapping precarity to examine the unique experiences of vulnerable populations, such as Venezuelan LGBTQ+ asylum seekers, who face precarity because of their multiple and intersecting social locations. In this paper, I use Schaap et al.’s definition of precarity as a situation where predictability, security, and social supports are absent.24

Crenshaw’s theory of intersectionality is a framework for understanding how different aspects of a person’s identity, such as race, gender, class, and sexuality, converge to create specific identities and social positions.25 This framework emphasises the importance of understanding how individuals may experience discrimination in unique and complex ways that cannot be solely attributed to a single aspect of their identity.

Elena Fiddian-Qasmiyeh’s concept of overlapping precarity highlights the compounding forms of precarity faced by displaced and dispossessed populations in situations like refugee camps during the COVID-19 pandemic.26 Fiddian-Qasmiyeh states poignantly that ‘as has been noted among the members of communities living in poverty around the world, the risk of contracting Covid-19 is parallel, or indeed surpassed, by the risks of destitution and starvation arising from different policies and political decisions being implemented on different scales’.27 Through the case study of how Palestinian, Syrian, Iraqi, Kurdish, and Lebanese refugees in Beddawi camp coped with COVID-19, Fiddian-Qasmiyeh illuminates how policies such as social distancing created new forms of marginalisation, as refugees living in overcrowded camps could not distance. Syrian refugees also experienced discrimination in that they were racially profiled for COVID-19 tests by the Lebanese government, contributing to rumours that Syrian refugees were the ones to import the virus to Beddawi camp. Thus, Fiddian-Qasmiyeh

27 Ibid., p. 31.
underscores how policies and social systems can create situations of overlapping precarities that further marginalise vulnerable groups, exacerbating their existing vulnerabilities.

Both Crenshaw and Fiddian-Qasmiyeh draw attention to the importance of considering the intersections of different identities to fully understand and address people’s vulnerability and insecurity instead of treating each aspect of their identity in isolation. The intersectional precarity Venezuelan LGBTQ+ asylum seekers faced when trying to find employment was particularly compounded during the pandemic, as viruses have historically been thinly veiled excuses for latent xenophobia and nativism.28

The combination of intersectionality and overlapping precarity theories allow for a more comprehensive understanding of the challenges Venezuelan LGBTQ+ asylum seekers faced in their search for employment and safety during the pandemic. By acknowledging the intersecting and compounding factors that contribute to their precarity, scholars, policymakers, and humanitarian actors can better recognise the need for tailored solutions and policies that address these multiple dimensions of vulnerability. Moreover, the examination of their experiences through this lens can contribute to a better comprehension of the complexities of their struggles and develop more inclusive and effective strategies to support their well-being and resilience.

Methods and Site Selection

The aim of the research on which this paper is based was to understand the impact of COVID-19 on Venezuelan LGBTQ+ asylum seekers in Brazil. The research was conducted with the support of Casa Miga, the only LGBTQ+ refugee shelter in Brazil and one of the only in Latin America. In 2018, as more Venezuelan asylum seekers entered Brazil, it was clear that the UN Refugee Agency (UNHCR) needed support from outside organisations, particularly when it came to refugees other than women and children which the humanitarian system was well designed to support. Recognising this gap, a local Brazilian charity in Manaus, Manifest LGBT, started Casa Miga as a non-profit shelter run by LGBTQ+ volunteers and supported by funds and resources from the UNHCR and private donations. When Casa Miga opened its doors, there was an overwhelming demand for their 30 beds, so they had to limit the stay of LGBTQ+ asylum seekers to a maximum of three months.

When Manaus became a global epicentre of COVID-19, Casa Miga became not just a shelter for its LGBTQ+ asylum seeker residents but one of the only places they felt safe—from both the virus as well as homophobic and xenophobic threats. Given the precarious situation that Venezuelan LGBTQ+ asylum seekers were in during the pandemic, I felt the best way to maintain respondents’ confidentiality and safety was to partner with the staff of Casa Miga and train their manager in research methods and research ethics, so he could conduct the surveys with current and former residents of the shelter. The Casa Miga manager, staff, and I thought it was best to have the manager, who is a native Spanish speaker, conduct the interviews because of the sensitive nature of some of the questions such as ‘How did COVID-19 impact your livelihood?’, ‘Did you experience any discrimination or violence during COVID-19?’, and ‘How did your income change during COVID-19?’ We believed respondents would feel more comfortable answering these questions when asked by someone they were familiar with and in their first language, Spanish.

The research was approved by York University’s Office of Research Ethics and was fully compliant with the ethics of conducting research with vulnerable populations. Informed consent was asked from the respondents, and only pseudonyms were used to protect their identity. Due to pandemic lockdown measures and an abundance of caution, all surveys were conducted over the phone or Zoom and lasted around 30 minutes to 1 hour. All surveys were conducted in Spanish and audio-recorded. The manager of Casa Miga then listened to the recordings and translated the answers to the open-ended questions from Spanish to English.

In total, 56 surveys with LGBTQ+ Venezuelan asylum seekers were conducted in Manaus between June and August 2021. While respondents were largely recruited through Casa Miga’s network and current and former resident list, there was an attempt to reach a diversity of respondents based on gender identity and sexual orientation. In total, the respondent breakdown in terms of gender was 36 cisgender men, seven cisgender women, ten trans women, two trans men, and one travesti. The respondent breakdown based on sexual orientation was six who identified as lesbian, 31 who identified as gay, 13 who identified as bisexual, and six who identified as straight.

The data was downloaded from the Qualtrics platform and cleaned. Then, an intersectional lens was applied that considered gender, sexual orientation, and migrant status to examine how COVID-19 impacted LGBTQ+ Venezuelan asylum seekers.
Findings

Impact of COVID-19 on Livelihoods and Monthly Income

Before COVID-19, most respondents (38%) worked in the hospitality sector, with jobs such as hotel staff, waiters, and cooks (see Table 1). Another 26% were engaged in informal jobs such as selling water on the streets, daily wage work, and sex work. Others were employed in professional services (9%), technical jobs (7%), or the beauty industry (14%). The beauty industry is important to highlight as most trans people and *travesti* are accepted in that line of work and it is one of the only formal jobs that trans folks can do in Brazil. Only one respondent reported receiving remittances from their family, while 4% were not working before COVID-19.

During the pandemic, the distribution of employment sectors shifted due to the closure of restaurants and stores as a result of the lockdown. The number of respondents employed in the hospitality sector decreased by 13%, while the percentage of those working in the informal labour sector decreased by 9%. The number of respondents working in the beauty business was reduced by half, while those working in professional services, technical jobs, and sex work remained relatively unchanged.

The biggest change was the number of people who reported having no work, which increased eight-fold from 2 to 16 respondents, meaning 29% of the respondents were unemployed during the pandemic. Despite the high percentage of unemployment, only four respondents reported the government’s COVID-19 Emergency Aid as their source of livelihood. Most respondents reported they either did not know about the government’s Emergency Aid or did not have the proper documents required to apply for the funds.

Table 1: Sources of Livelihood Before and During COVID-19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Types of Jobs</th>
<th>Before COVID-19 (n=56)</th>
<th>During COVID-19 (n=56)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospitality</td>
<td>- Hotel staff</td>
<td>21 (38%)</td>
<td>14 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Waiter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal Jobs</td>
<td>- Selling water on the streets</td>
<td>13 (23%)</td>
<td>8 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Daily wage work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Street vendor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beauty Industry</td>
<td>- Hairdresser</td>
<td>8 (14%)</td>
<td>4 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Beautician</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Services</td>
<td>- Teacher</td>
<td>5 (9%)</td>
<td>3 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Consultant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technical Jobs</td>
<td>Sex work</td>
<td>Remittances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 (7%)</td>
<td>2 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5 (9%)</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Funds from family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Family</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As noted above, the asylum process was halted at the start of the pandemic, which meant most asylum seekers’ documents expired, or respondents did not have documents because they had crossed illegally after the border had been closed. The latter was the case for six respondents who had crossed the border during the pandemic through *trochas* (informal paths). Alex, a young gay man shared, ‘I used to work on the border and decided to come to Brazil with *trocha* with BRL 100 in my pocket.’ These journeys, however, were not always unidirectional. James, a young bisexual Venezuelan, explained how he had crossed the border a few times: ‘A cousin brought me three years ago by car. I returned to Venezuela, and then during the pandemic I came by bus to the border and from the border I came by *trocha*, and after the *trocha* I came by bus to Manaus.’ The *trochas* in Pacaraima at the Brazilian-Venezuelan border can be seen in Image 1.

Image 1: The *trochas* are the visible paths between borders. Here they are at the Brazilian-Venezuelan border at Pacaraima. Photo credit: Yvonne Su.
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29 Survey, 10 August 2021. All names used in the paper are pseudonyms to protect the identities of the respondents.

30 Survey, 26 July 2021.
In terms of monthly income, most respondents (75%) reported earnings above BRL 600 (USD 115) before the pandemic (see Table 2). This number decreased to 52% during the pandemic. In contrast, the number of respondents earning nothing increased eight-fold which correlates with the increase in the number of respondents who reported having no work during the pandemic. Without savings and reliant on precarious daily wage jobs, LGBTQ+ Venezuelan asylum seekers without any work during the pandemic struggled to make ends meet. When asked ‘Do you have enough food to eat every day?’, only 9 (16%) reported they did not have enough food to eat every day before the pandemic, but that number almost tripled during the pandemic to 25 (45%). This is particularly acute for the respondents who reported having a monthly income of ‘nothing’ during COVID-19. Johnny, an older gay man, explained that he does not have enough food to eat because ‘of the economic crisis and the lack of jobs and prejudice against LGBT [people]’. Evidently, LGBTQ+ Venezuelan asylum seekers were greatly impacted by COVID-19 and the pandemic measures that led to the closure of businesses and the end of their livelihoods which were largely dependent on customer-facing jobs in the informal labour sector.

### Table 2: Monthly Income Before and During COVID-19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monthly Income</th>
<th>Before COVID-19 (n=56)</th>
<th>During COVID-19 (n=56)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nothing</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
<td>16 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below BRL 300 (USD 57)</td>
<td>8 (14%)</td>
<td>4 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between BRL 300 and 600 (USD 57-115)</td>
<td>4 (7%)</td>
<td>7 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above BRL 600 (USD 115)</td>
<td>42 (75%)</td>
<td>29 (52%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When asked about how COVID-19 had impacted their livelihoods (Table 3), respondents most frequently answered that COVID-19 ‘caused my livelihood to be unsafe’ (27%). They also responded that the pandemic ‘caused me to risk my life to make a living’ (25%), ‘eliminated my source of livelihood’ (25%), and ‘caused my livelihood to be banned’ (22%).

Luis, an older gay Venezuelan, shared that, ‘The pandemic affected my work, which was in the city centre, and with the ban on going out on the street, there were not many people out and sales dropped, and I couldn’t pay my debts’. Similarly, Angel, a trans woman sex worker, explained, ‘At the beginning of the
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31 Survey, 2 July 2021.
32 Respondents were allowed to choose multiple responses to the question ‘How did COVID-19 impact your livelihood?’.
pandemic, I worked in prostitution, and I needed to have contact with people, and with the prohibition of movement, I couldn’t find any clients’.34

Table 3: The Impact of COVID-19 on the Livelihoods of LGBTQ+ Venezuelan Asylum Seekers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How did COVID-19 impact your livelihood?</th>
<th>Number of Responses (n= 154) (multiple selections allowed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eliminated my source of livelihood</td>
<td>38 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caused my source of livelihood to be banned</td>
<td>34 (22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caused my source of livelihood to be unsafe</td>
<td>42 (27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caused me to risk my life to make a living</td>
<td>38 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not have an impact</td>
<td>2 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved my sources of livelihood</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only 1% of respondents reported that COVID-19 did not impact their livelihoods, and no one said the pandemic improved their livelihoods.

Higher Risk of Unemployment, Labour Exploitation, and COVID-19 Exposure

The intersectional precarity LGBTQ+ Venezuelan asylum seekers faced as 1) a sexual or gender minority, 2) a foreigner, and 3) an asylum seeker or undocumented migrant overlapped to disproportionately impact their livelihoods during COVID-19. Respondents shared that it was very challenging to find employment during the pandemic. Beth, a middle-aged lesbian, shared, ‘I am 5 months without a job. It's hard to get a job in Brazil as a Venezuelan as no one responds to my job applications’.35 Likewise, Maria, a young trans woman, shared ‘I've been out of work for 5 months. I think because of my sexuality I cannot get a job’.36 But unemployment can be complicated for those who are undocumented or do not have updated papers because the refugee determination process had been paused. Jose, an older gay Venezuelan, explained, ‘I lost my job 3 weeks ago but due to lack of documentation, I can’t get a new job’.37

34 Survey, 15 August 2021.
36 Survey, 12 August 2021.
In addition, the pandemic pushed LGBTQ+ Venezuelan asylum seekers to take greater risks and made them more vulnerable to labour exploitation. Anna, a young, divorced, lesbian single mother, shared that when the pandemic closed the restaurant where she was working and there was no one to care for her son, she had to resort to selling sweets and bottled water on the streets to make a living. Anna was aware of the danger of doing this, noting that, ‘When I was fired, I ended up having to go sell things on the streets and it was not safe for me or my young son’.38 Similarly, Evelyn, a middle-aged trans woman, noted ‘No one wants to hire us. During COVID-19 everyone was fired, and I ended up going into debt. The only income I could get was from selling lemonade on the street, and sometimes there is no one on the streets, and it is very scary for me.’39 Evelyn explained that she believes local business owners may have associated LGBTQ+ migrants with the spread of COVID-19 because in the early days, when everything was closed and everyone stayed indoors, it was LGBTQ+ asylum seekers and migrants and other people from vulnerable groups like herself who were forced to work on the street. So, locals may have seen them on the street and assumed they were not being careful regarding COVID-19, and thus spreading the virus. This observation was also brought up by two gay respondents who both shared that they faced many rejections from jobs, but also from people generally, because of the fake social media stories circulating in the early days of the pandemic blaming the LGBTQ+ community for spreading the virus.40

In addition to labour discrimination, respondents faced labour exploitation as well. Manuel, a bisexual man, explained that, ‘At the beginning of the pandemic, I was working at a store and sales fell, so they fired the employees. I asked the boss if I could stay. That I would work for free in exchange for food. He said yes and I worked like that for a few months, but once sales started to improve, I asked him for a salary and he refused, so I resigned.’41 This experience left Manuel feeling discouraged about his future job prospects, but his concerns were common among the respondents, many of whom shared they had been waiting on employment for months, and some even years.

In addition to higher exposure to unemployment and labour exploitation, respondents faced increased COVID-19 exposure because they were more likely to work in people-facing service industry positions which could more readily expose them to the virus. Twenty-one respondents (38%) contracted COVID-19 and 3 (5%) caught COVID-19 twice in one year. Miguel, a young gay man, shared he got COVID-19 twice—the first time from his job at a hotel and the second from

38 Survey, 10 June 2021.
39 Survey, 1 July 2021.
40 Cowper-Smith, Su, and Valiquette.
41 Survey, 19 June 2021.
his job as a barista.\textsuperscript{42} Similarly, Rosa, a middle-aged trans woman, shared: ‘They had to close the store where I worked, and the stores closed one by one, and always changing jobs made me constantly exposed to the virus.’\textsuperscript{43} Lastly, Peter, a young gay man, shared that both he and his parents lost their jobs during the pandemic, so he felt a great deal of responsibility to find new work. When an opportunity came up to move to a neighbouring state for a job in a slaughterhouse, he took it, aware that moving to a new state during COVID-19 and taking up a job in a slaughterhouse would bring both workplace safety and COVID-19 risks. Nevertheless, he noted: ‘In the factory, we worked very close to each other, so I was always scared of catching the virus’. Peter eventually caught COVID-19 at his workplace.\textsuperscript{44} As Fiddian-Qasmiyeh pointed out, for those living in poverty, the risk of contracting the virus is the same or surpassed by the risk of starvation. With 45% of respondents reporting that they did not have enough to eat daily during the pandemic, starvation was a serious threat for many LGBTQ+ Venezuelan asylum seekers in Brazil.

**Increased Precarity of Transgender and Travesti Venezuelan Asylum Seekers**

A major finding of this study are the differences experienced by cisgender and transgender and *travesti* Venezuelan asylum seekers in terms of labour precarity, discrimination, and violence during the pandemic.

In terms of labour precarity (see Table 4), transgender and *travesti* respondents experienced more hardships during the pandemic than their cisgender counterparts. The percentage of transgender and *travesti* respondents who reported having their source of livelihood eliminated by the pandemic was 10% higher than that of cisgender respondents. In addition, 12% more transgender and *travesti* respondents reported that the pandemic caused them to risk their lives to make a living. While more cisgender Venezuelan respondents reported that the pandemic caused their source of livelihood to be unsafe, this may be a matter of subjective interpretation as the term ‘unsafe’ was not well defined in the survey. Lastly, while two cisgender respondents noted the pandemic had no impact on their sources of livelihood, all transgender and *travesti* respondents said their livelihoods were impacted.

\textsuperscript{42} Survey, 7 July 2021.

\textsuperscript{43} Survey, 28 June 2021.

\textsuperscript{44} Survey, 2 August 2021.
Table 4: The Impact of COVID-19 on the Livelihoods of Cisgender and Transgender and Travesti Respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Eliminated my source of livelihood</th>
<th>Caused my source of livelihood to be unsafe</th>
<th>Caused me to risk my life to make a living</th>
<th>Did not have an impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cisgender (n=43)</td>
<td>29 (67%)</td>
<td>34 (79%)</td>
<td>28 (65%)</td>
<td>2 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender and Travesti (n=13)</td>
<td>10 (77%)</td>
<td>8 (62%)</td>
<td>10 (77%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In terms of risking their lives to make a living, Venezuelan trans women asylum seekers in sex work shared experiences of brutal physical violence in their work during COVID-19. Monica, a young trans woman, shared: ‘The pandemic affected me because I lost my job and my parents lost their jobs. I had to travel to several cities to find jobs to support my family. I did small manual labour jobs and worked in prostitution’.\(^{45}\) Monica shared that she and her co-worker who was also engaged in sex work had to take greater risks during the pandemic due to a lack of clients. She explained that before the pandemic she could work with clients she was already familiar with and have sex with them at the pimp’s house where the other women worked. With lockdowns, however, she had to meet new clients, often online, who wanted her to visit their homes. One client said he had a friend who was also interested, but Monica and her co-worker had to go to his house. Despite the risk, the women went because they felt safer going to a stranger’s house together, and they needed the income. But when the agreed upon services had been met, the men refused to pay them and beat them up before throwing them out on the street. The experience left Monica and her co-worker traumatised, and they stopped visiting clients in their homes.

The dangers of sex work during the pandemic and in general are clear as Serena, the other trans sex worker respondent surveyed, shared: ‘The biggest challenge we face here as Venezuelan sex workers is xenophobia, transphobia by some of the clients, fear of going out with people we don’t know, that some things can happen to us, such as deaths that have already happened to transgender people. There have been many murders of Venezuelans, and during the pandemic, it has become very dangerous for us, and violence is a risk we run every day because we work on the streets.’\(^{46}\) Serena also shared that she heard of Venezuelan trans sex workers who, during COVID-19, were brought to mines in the Amazon by their pimps to have sex with the miners. She heard that protection was often not used, and the women frequently returned with sexually transmitted and blood-borne infections (STBBIs). Worse still, they were often not paid the rate they were
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\(^{45}\) Survey, 16 July 2021.

\(^{46}\) Survey, 2 August 2021.
promised, and thus, due to the coercion and violence they faced, this type of sex work may constitute a form of trafficking. Serena’s story was corroborated by the United States Department of State’s 2022 *Trafficking in Persons Report* on Venezuela, which discussed how Venezuelan women and girls in countries like Brazil were forced to engage in sex work in mining areas, often in illegal mining operations.47

Additionally, the findings show that transgender and *travesti* respondents faced higher increases in both discrimination (see Table 5) and violence (see Table 6) during the pandemic than their cisgender counterparts. While 42% of cisgender respondents reported facing discrimination before COVID-19, that number decreased to 40% during the pandemic, and only 33% reported facing discrimination before and during COVID-19. A middle-aged gay man, Robert, shared that he faced discrimination before the pandemic because ‘of the culture and language, and there was a lot of discrimination because of the pronunciation of the language’.48 Most of the other cisgender respondents reported discrimination in the form of name-calling and workplace discrimination. A middle-aged lesbian respondent, Joanne, shared that she faced discrimination finding work during the pandemic because she is Venezuelan.49 For transgender and *travesti* respondents, 31% reported experiencing discrimination before COVID-19, but that number increased during the pandemic to 54%; additionally, 31% reported experiencing discrimination both before and during COVID-19. A young trans woman, Alicia, said she faced discrimination during the pandemic ‘because of lack of job opportunities due to my nationality and my sexuality.’50

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before COVID-19</th>
<th>During COVID-19</th>
<th>Both before and during COVID-19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cisgender (n=43)</td>
<td>18 (42%)</td>
<td>17 (40%)</td>
<td>14 (33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender and Travesti (n=13)</td>
<td>4 (31%)</td>
<td>7 (54%)</td>
<td>4 (31%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


48 Survey, 8 June 2021.


50 Survey, 12 August 2021.
In terms of differences in the amount of violence faced by cisgender and transgender and *travesti* respondents before and during COVID-19, the findings show that while cisgender respondents experienced a 7% increase in violence during the pandemic, the percentage of transgender and *travesti* respondents who experienced violence more than doubled—from 15% before COVID-19 to 38% during COVID-19. A young trans woman shared that a group of men robbed her at gun point and hit her with the gun when she was trying to fight back. A young trans woman sex worker shared that the amount of verbal violence she experienced while working on the streets increased during the pandemic, making her fearful to venture into new areas to find clients despite the need to make money. Indeed, the Inter-Agency Coordination Platform for Refugees and Migrants from Venezuela (R4V) also noted in 2021 that ‘attacks on transgender women and sex workers / people in situations of prostitution were a concern.’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 6: Differences in Experiences of Violence Before and During COVID-19 for Cisgender and Transgender and Travesti Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Before</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisgender (n=43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender and Travesti (n=13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These findings demonstrate that policymakers and humanitarian actors need to pay special attention to transgender and *travesti* Venezuelan asylum seekers, who experienced more labour precarity, discrimination, and violence during the pandemic than their cisgender counterparts. A potential explanation for this disparity is that while most cisgender respondents are able to ‘pass’ and conform to heteronormative expectations, it is much harder for transgender and *travesti* individuals to conform, especially if they have undergone procedures that alter their bodies in dramatic ways to look more like their desired gender expression. *Travesti* often undergo procedures that alter their bodies in exaggerated manners such as large breasts and large buttocks. These procedures often make it more difficult for *travesti* to ‘pass’ but it is important to note that *travesti* often do not desire to ‘pass’; they want their gender expression to be seen. Thus, if perpetrators of homophobic and transphobic violence were looking to hurt people, trans folks

---


and travesti would be easier to identify and target than cisgender individuals. This was an explanation provided by the manager of Casa Miga who explained why he thought the two trans men in our study did not report experiencing discrimination or violence before or during COVID-19: ‘I believe it is because they were trans men that had not started their transition, so their features and gender expression were generally still feminine, and they wore gender-neutral clothing.’ He also noted that they both still went by names that would be associated with women.

Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic has significantly impacted the livelihoods of Venezuelan LGBTQ+ asylum seekers in Brazil. Many reported losing their jobs, seeing their income decrease, and facing many challenges in finding employment. The number of respondents who reported having no work during the pandemic increased eight-fold. Experiencing declining and discouraging work prospects during the pandemic due to intersectional precarity, respondents reported taking greater risks and suffering violence, abuse, and labour exploitation, as well as increased exposure to COVID-19. However, caught in such impossible situations, respondents did everything they could to survive in a hostile social environment and labour market.

These economic impacts are likely to have wider implications and longer-term effects for the overall well-being of Venezuelan LGBTQ+ asylum seekers in Brazil, who were already struggling with access to necessities and threats from xenophobic, homophobic, and transphobic violence and discrimination before the pandemic.

The intersectional precarity faced by Venezuelan LGBTQ+ asylum seekers in Brazil draws attention to the need for policymakers and organisations to prioritise their needs to mitigate the pandemic’s negative impacts and ensure that they can access employment and other economic opportunities. As Brazil, like the rest of the world, moves towards post-pandemic recovery, the needs of the LGBTQ+ community, asylum seekers, and specifically Venezuelan LGBTQ+ asylum seekers cannot be left out of the plans. Special attention needs to be placed on transgender and travesti Venezuelan asylum seekers, who experienced more labour precarity, discrimination, and violence during the pandemic than their cisgender counterparts.

53 Personal communication, 19 September 2021.
54 Cowper-Smith, Su, and Valiquette.
Future research should examine the long-term impacts of COVID-19 on the livelihoods of Venezuelan LGBTQ+ asylum seekers in Brazil, as well as the effectiveness of policies and interventions to support this population. Additionally, further research should explore the specific challenges and barriers faced by Venezuelan LGBTQ+ asylum seekers in accessing employment and other economic opportunities in order to inform the development of more targeted and effective interventions.
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